

COAG Reform Council: Report on the National Affordable Housing Agreement (NAHA) 2009-10

Recommendation	COAG Response
<p>Recommendation 1 (a):</p> <p>The COAG Reform Council recommends that COAG: endorse the following priorities for improving the performance reporting framework of the National Affordable Housing Agreement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • development of a performance indicator for the outcome <i>people have access to housing through an efficient and responsive housing market</i> 	<p>Agreed.</p>
<p>Recommendation 1 (b):</p> <p>The COAG Reform Council recommends that COAG: endorse the following priorities for improving the performance reporting framework of the National Affordable Housing Agreement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • development of robust and comparable data on mainstream and Indigenous community housing 	<p>Noted and referred for consideration in the review of the NAHA announced by COAG on 13 February 2011.</p>
<p>Recommendation 1 (c):</p> <p>The COAG Reform Council recommends that COAG: endorse the following priorities for improving the performance reporting framework of the National Affordable Housing Agreement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • consideration of whether and how output measures could be further developed to assist with reporting on the outcomes in the agreement. 	<p>Noted and referred for consideration in the review of the NAHA announced by COAG on 13 February 2011.</p>
<p>Recommendation 2:</p> <p>The COAG Reform Council recommends that COAG: refer these priorities, along with the recommendations made in the council's 2008-09 baseline report, to the review of the National Affordable Housing Agreement announced by COAG on 13 February 2011.</p>	<p>Agreed.</p>

COAG Reform Council: Report on the National Disability Agreement (NDA) 2009-10

Recommendation	COAG Response
<p>Recommendation 1 (a):</p> <p>The COAG Reform Council recommends that COAG endorse the following priorities for improving the performance reporting framework for the National Disability Agreement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• develop clear and measurable targets to underpin the agreed benchmarks in the National Disability Agreement	<p>Agreed, subject to the outcomes of the review of the NDA agreed by COAG on 13 February 2011,</p>
<p>Recommendation 1 (b):</p> <p>The COAG Reform Council recommends that COAG endorse the following priorities for improving the performance reporting framework for the National Disability Agreement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• develop robust performance measures of unmet demand, and quality of services for people with disability, their families and carers.	<p>Agreed, subject to the outcomes of the review of the NDA agreed by COAG on 13 February 2011,</p>
<p>Recommendation 2:</p> <p>The COAG Reform Council recommends that COAG refer these priorities (see recommendation 1), along with the recommendations made in the council's 2008-09 baseline report, to the review of the National Disability Agreement announced by COAG on 13 February 2011.</p>	<p>Agreed</p>

COAG Reform Council: Report on the National Healthcare Agreement (NHA) 2009-10

Recommendation	COAG Response
<p>Recommendation 1(a):</p> <p>The COAG Reform Council recommends that COAG endorse the following priorities for improving the performance reporting framework for the National Healthcare Agreement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • more frequent provision of survey data, subject to an analysis of benefits and costs 	<p>Agreed, subject to the outcomes of the review of the NHA agreed by COAG on 13 February 2011, noting that this review should duly consider the benefits and costs of this recommendation.</p>
<p>Recommendation 1(b):</p> <p>The COAG Reform Council recommends that COAG endorse the following priorities for improving the performance reporting framework for the National Healthcare Agreement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ensuring the objectives, outcomes, progress measures and outputs form a conceptually adequate framework for measuring performance 	<p>Agreed</p>
<p>Recommendation 1(c):</p> <p>The COAG Reform Council recommends that COAG endorse the following priorities for improving the performance reporting framework for the National Healthcare Agreement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • enhancing the ABS Patient Experience Survey in sample size and scope 	<p>Agreed. COAG notes that the sample of the ABS Patient Experience Survey (PEXS) has increased from 7,000 households in 2009 to approximately 27,000 in 2010-11 and subsequent surveys. The scope of the 2010-11 and subsequent PEXS has also expanded to collect information on dental and hospital – related care, and to include new questions on, for example, whether health professionals listened carefully to, showed respect for, and spent enough time with patients.</p>
<p>Recommendation 1 (d):</p> <p>The COAG Reform Council recommends that COAG endorse the following priorities for improving the performance reporting framework for the National Healthcare Agreement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • increasing the number of indicators that can be reported on by area of remoteness, with a focus on those identified. 	<p>Agreed</p>
<p>Recommendation 2:</p> <p>The COAG Reform Council recommends that COAG refer these priorities (see Recommendation 1), along with the recommendations made in the council's 2008-09 baseline report, to the review of the National Healthcare Agreement agreed by COAG on 13 February 2011.</p>	<p>Agreed</p>

COAG Reform Council: Report on the National Indigenous Reform Agreement (NIRA) 2009-10

Recommendation	COAG Response
<p>Recommendation 1(a):</p> <p>The COAG Reform Council recommends that COAG: consider the following issues for improving the performance reporting framework for the National Indigenous Reform Agreement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> reframing the targets and indicators that rely on survey data to a three yearly reporting cycle and/or investigating the use of output measures that could serve as proxy indicators of progress towards these targets 	<p>Agreed</p>
<p>Recommendation 1(b):</p> <p>The COAG Reform Council recommends that COAG consider the following issues for improving the performance reporting framework for the National Indigenous Reform Agreement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> prioritising work on methods of calculating variability bands for administrative data to allow the council to determine the significance of year to year change. 	<p>Agreed</p>
<p>Recommendation 2:</p> <p>The COAG Reform Council recommends that COAG refer these issues (see Recommendation 1), along with the recommendations made in the council's 2008–09 baseline report, to the review of the National Indigenous Reform Agreement announced by COAG on 13 February 2011.</p>	<p>Agreed in part</p> <p>Recommendations for the 2008-2009 baseline report have already been incorporated into the Terms of Reference of the review of NIRA</p> <p>The NIRA working group is working towards finalising the review of the National Indigenous Reform announced by COAG on 13 February 2011 by July 2011. It is not possible for the review to consider the recommendations of the 2009-10 report as COAG will likely meet very close to the end of the review, if not after the review is completed</p>